REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 8 July 2005. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the combination of elements that form the invention of the subject Patent Application.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Tseng (U.S. Patent No. 5,740,706) in view of Lin (U.S. Patent No. 6,151,997). Before discussing the prior art relied upon by the Examiner, it is believed beneficial to first briefly review the invention of the subject Patent Application, as now defined in amended Claim 1. The inventive apparatus is directed to a screwdriver heads chamber for a precise screwdriver. The screwdriver comprises a handle, a stem and a cover. The handle has a hollow chamber which receives the stem and a plurality of screwdriver heads. Additionally, the handle has two ends, one end being a driving end while another end is interconnected with the chamber and pivotally connected to the cover. The chamber is a hollow barrel with an inner end and an outer end and at least one slot being formed on the barrel. Furthermore, when the cover is removed from the chamber all of the screwdriver heads are accessible for selective longitudinal displacement of a selected screwdriver head.

In contradistinction, the Tseng reference is directed to a tool handle with a concealed storage means. The tool handle of Tseng is comprised of a handle body

with a backwardly open receiving chamber and a longitudinally disposed peripheral opening. There is a rotary storage wheel mounted in the receiving chamber and having longitudinal storage grooves spaced around the periphery for holding tool bits and accessories. There is a rotary knob fastened to the rotary storage wheel and disposed outside of the handle body; the rotary knob is adapted for turning the rotary storage wheel to move the longitudinal storage grooves into alignment with the longitudinal opening of the handle body alternatively for loading/unloading tool bits and accessories.

It is respectfully submitted that Tseng discloses a device in which the screwdriver heads and/or tool bits are only accessible one at a time from a peripheral opening not on an end of the tool. Specifically, the loading/unloading of tool bits and accessories is done from a longitudinal opening which is on a periphery of the handle. Furthermore, only one tool bit is accessible at any given time upon rotation of the rotary knob. Nowhere does the reference disclose or suggest, "...said handle...pivotally connected to said cover...whereby when said cover is removed from said chamber all of said screwdriver heads are accessible for selective longitudinal displacement of a selected screwdriver head..." as taught in currently amended Claim 1.

The Lin reference does not overcome the deficiencies of Tseng. The Lin reference discloses a tool having an improved driving torque. The tool in Lin includes a handle having a shank extended from one end for engaging width and

for driving fasteners. There is a driving stem which has an extension for engaging into the orifice of the shank and has an engaging claw for receiving and driving the fasteners. The shank and the driving stem are extended from one end of the handle such that the handle may apply a greater torque against the driving stem.

It is respectfully submitted that the Lin reference discloses a device with a primary objective that is different from the Applicant. The device of Lin is designed to provide a tool with an improved driving torque for facilitating the driving operation of the tool. Furthermore, the object of Lin is to provide a tool with an in-light skate configuration for decoration purposes. Although Lin discloses a chamber that is pivotally connected to a cover, the reference does not disclose or suggest, "...at least one slot being formed on said barrel...whereby when said cover is removed from said chamber all of said screwdriver heads are accessible for selective longitudinal displacement of a selected screwdriver head..." as taught in amended Claim 1.

Thus, even if the Tseng reference and the Lin reference are taken alone or in combination, they do not teach, "...whereby when said cover is removed from said chamber all of said screwdriver heads are accessible for selective longitudinal displacement of a selected screwdriver head..." as is necessary to now amended independent Claim 1.

Additionally, while it is believed that dependent Claim 2 adds further patentably distinct limitations, that claim is at least patentably distinct for the same reasons as independent Claim 1.

The references cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection have been reviewed and are believed to be further remote from the subject inventive concept as defined by the now amended claims than that used by the Examiner in his rejection.

It is not believed by the undersigned attorney that any of the references disclosed or suggest a combination of elements which form the inventive concept of the subject Patent Application for the purposes and objectives of Applicant. It is not believed that any of the references make obvious the subject Application as now defined by amended Claim 1.

MR1111-1244

Serial Number: 10/802,785

Response to Office Action Dated 8 July 2005

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

Morton J. Rosenberg Registration #26,049

Dated: 10/6/05

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee Suite 101 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678 CUSTOMER NO. 04586